

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 LE'CARRA WARD,  
7 Plaintiff,  
8 v.  
9 BRINK'S INCORPORATED,  
10 Defendant.

Case No. 22-cv-02815-WHO

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE  
REQUIRING RESPONSE**

11 Pro se plaintiff Le'Carra Ward filed this suit against defendant Brink's Incorporated  
12 ("Brink's") alleging wrongful termination and hostile work environment. Dkt. No. 1. After  
13 Brink's removed the case to federal court, it filed a motion to dismiss on May 19, 2022. Dkt. No.  
14 6. Ward's response was due June 9, 2022, with a hearing set for June 27, 2022. *Id.* Although the  
15 hearing date was vacated when the case was reassigned to me, the Order reassigning the case  
16 made clear that the briefing schedule remained unchanged. *See* Dkt. No. 13. As of the date of this  
17 Order, Ward has not filed an opposition or other response to the motion to dismiss.

18 Ward is ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE why this case should not be dismissed for failure  
19 to prosecute by filing her opposition and other response to the pending motion by **June 29, 2022**.  
20 If she files an opposition or response by that date, Brink's may file a reply by **July 6, 2022**. The  
21 hearing on the pending motion to dismiss is reset to **July 13, 2022, at 2 p.m.**

22 **If Ward fails to file an opposition or other response to the motion to dismiss by June  
23 29, 2022, this action will be DISMISSED for failure to prosecute.** Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25 Dated: June 15, 2022

26  
27  
28   
William H. Orrick  
United States District Judge